IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

James Staggs,)
) C.A. No. 8:22-03852-HMH-JDA
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.	OPINION & ORDER
)
Spartanburg County Detention Center,)
Spartanburg County, Sheriff Chuck Wright,)
Lt. Gillespie, Srgnt. Bishop,)
)
Defendants.)

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. Plaintiff James Staggs ("Staggs"), a state pretrial detainee proceeding pro se, filed this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In her Report and Recommendation filed on November 30, 2022, Magistrate Judge Austin recommends dismissing this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and § 1915A without further leave to amend and without issuance and service of process. (R&R, generally, ECF No. 15.)

Staggs timely filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. (Objs., generally, ECF No. 17.) Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file

¹ The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Stagg's objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of the magistrate judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Austin's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein.

It is therefore

ORDERED that this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and § 1915A without further leave to amend and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr. Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina December 16, 2022

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL	
Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days	
from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.	